

REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above amendment and reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks.

The amendments to claims 19, 23 and 25-30 only correct obvious grammatical errors and are not required for patentability.

New claims 31 and 32 are based on claim 13. They claim the signal and media without being product by process claims.

New claims 33 and 34 are similar to claims and broader than claims 13 and 15 for not being limited by a data space.

New claims 35-49 are intended to have the same limitations as the original claims 1-12.

In response to the rejection of claims 17-18 under 35 USC §101, suggesting that "data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are neither physical things nor statutory processes", the applicant traverses the rejection.

Claim 17 claims a signal, and It is well known since the early 19th century, that all signals are physical things. Claim 18 specifically claims the signal embodied in computer media, so the rejection can not even apply to claim 18.

In response to the rejection of claims 13-30 under the judicial doctrine of obvious type double patenting, a terminal disclaimer accompanies this amendment.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael E. Belk
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Patent Attorney
(914) 333-9643

n:\userpublic\be\pa\phn\n15765b_am2.doc